

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/626,452	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ZOLOTARSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1618	

**All Participants:**

(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.

(2) William J. Davis.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 December 2006

**Time:** 10:21 and 10:32

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1.3-4,7

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with William J. Davis in regards to the status of application 10/626,452, a response was due on 11/19/2006, the examiner left a messege in regards to the status of the application. Mr. Davis expressed the desire of applicants to abandon this case in a message to the examiner at 10:32 AM.